

Optimal control of nonlocal conservation laws and the singular limit

(Funded by DFG (GRF) Project ID 547096773: Optimal control of nonlocal conservation laws and the singular limit)

Machine Learning and PDEs Workshop,
FAU, Research Center for Mathematics of Data (MoD)

Alexander Keimer¹, Lukas Pflug, Jakob Rodestock

Institute of Mathematics, University of Rostock, Germany

28.04.2025

¹alexander.keimer@uni-rostock.de

Why **Nonlocal** and what does it even mean?



Figure 1: Star Trek: Picard, Episode 2 ("Maps and Legends"), aired on January 30th, 2020.

Problem Setup: Nonlocal conservation laws

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} q_t(t, x) + \partial_x \left(V(W[q, \gamma](t, x)) q(t, x) \right) &= 0 & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \\ q(0, x) &= q_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

supplemented by the nonlocal term W , averaging the “density” in space “downstream”

$$W[q, \gamma](t, x) := \int_x^\infty \gamma(x - y) q(t, y) \, dy, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

with

① $V \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}) : V' \leq 0$

② $q_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$

③ $\gamma \in BV(\mathbb{R}_{<0})$ monotonically increasing.

Remark: Applications

- Traffic (velocity depends on the density downstream)
- Pedestrian flow, herd dynamics, swarm behavior, chemical ripening processes, etc....

Theorem (Existence & Uniqueness, stability)

Given the previously stated assumptions, the nonlocal conservation law admits a **unique weak solution**

$$q \in C([0, T]; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty((0, T); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$$

satisfying the following maximum principle

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in \mathbb{R}} q_0(y) \leq q(t, x) \leq \|q_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e.}$$

Even more, for $q_0 \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have that q is even a strong solution.

Remark: Stability and solution properties

- Any weak solution can be approximated by strong (and even classical) solutions in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, if $q_0 \in TV(\mathbb{R})$.
- No fully local behavior anymore, i.e., solution has to be known between x and ∞ to “advance” in time.
- Still finite propagation of mass, but infinite speed of “information”.
- No entropy condition for uniqueness required.

Singular limit problem: Convergence to local entropy solution when $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$?

For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ let $q_\eta \in C([0, T]; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the **weak** solution of

$$q_t(t, x) = -\partial_x (V(W[q, \gamma_\eta](t, x))q(t, x)), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$q(0, x) = q_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$W[q, \gamma_\eta](t, x) := \frac{1}{\eta} \int_x^\infty \gamma\left(\frac{x-y}{\eta}\right) q(t, y) dy, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Denote by $q^* \in C([0, T]; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}))$ the **weak entropy** solution to the local counter-part conservation law (the “LWR” model in traffic)

$$\partial_t q(t, x) = -\partial_x (V(q(t, x))q(t, x)), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$q(0, x) = q_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Singular limit problem: Convergence to local entropy solution when $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$?

For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ let $q_\eta \in C([0, T]; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the **weak** solution of

$$\begin{aligned} q_t(t, x) &= -\partial_x (V(W[q, \gamma_\eta](t, x))q(t, x)), & (t, x) &\in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ q(0, x) &= q_0(x), & x &\in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

$$W[q, \gamma_\eta](t, x) := \frac{1}{\eta} \int_x^\infty \gamma\left(\frac{x-y}{\eta}\right) q(t, y) dy, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Denote by $q^* \in C([0, T]; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}))$ the **weak entropy** solution to the local counter-part conservation law (the “LWR” model in traffic)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t q(t, x) &= -\partial_x (V(q(t, x))q(t, x)), & (t, x) &\in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ q(0, x) &= q_0(x), & x &\in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Do we have $q_\eta \xrightarrow{\eta \rightarrow 0} q^*$?

Theorem: Convergence against the local entropy solution

Given **reasonable** kernels γ and $q_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \cap TV(\mathbb{R})$. Then, it holds

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \|W[q_\eta, \gamma_\eta - q^*]\|_{C([0,T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}))} = 0 = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \|q_\eta - q^*\|_{C([0,T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}))},$$

where q^* is the (local) entropy solution introduced before.

Remark

The singular limit convergence in this framework is by now quite well understood.

Theorem: Convergence against the local entropy solution

Given **reasonable** kernels γ and $q_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \cap TV(\mathbb{R})$. Then, it holds

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \|W[q_\eta, \gamma_\eta - q^*]\|_{C([0,T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}))} = 0 = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \|q_\eta - q^*\|_{C([0,T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}))},$$

where q^* is the (local) entropy solution introduced before.

Remark

The singular limit convergence in this framework is by now quite well understood.

$\implies$ **Optimal control** 😊

Barely any results available, neither existence of minimizers, nor numerical methods.

Problem setup: Optimal control of nonlocal conservation laws

Consider

$$\inf_{\substack{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \\ V \in \mathcal{V} \\ \gamma \in \mathcal{G}}} \|q_\eta - q_d\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})}^2 + \|q_\eta(T, \cdot) - q_T\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|q_0 - q_{0,d}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

s.t.

$$\partial_t q_\eta(t, x) = -\partial_x (V(W[q_\eta, \gamma_\eta](t, x)) q_\eta(t, x)), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$W[q_\eta, \gamma_\eta](t, x) = \frac{1}{\eta} \int_x^\infty \gamma\left(\frac{x-y}{\eta}\right) q_\eta(t, y) dy, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$q_\eta(0, x) = q_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $q_d \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$ and $q_T, q_{0,d} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Does there exist a minimizer? What do we require on $\mathcal{Q}$, $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{G}$?

Remark: Different objectives

- The objective function can be generalized to lower weakly semi-continuous functionals.
- Instead of tracking q_η it could be advantageous to track the nonlocal operator $W[q_\eta, \gamma_\eta]$ and the theory applies then as well.

Theorem: Existence of a minimizer

Let $q_{\max} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $C_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $C_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\bar{R} \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}$. Define

- $\mathcal{Q} := \{q_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : 0 \leq q_0 \leq q_{\max} \text{ a.e.}\}$
- $\mathcal{V} := \{V \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) : V' \leq 0 \text{ a.e. on } [0, q_{\max}], \|V\|_{W^{1,\infty}([0, q_{\max}])} \leq C_{\mathcal{V}}\}$
- $\mathcal{G} := \left\{ \gamma \in BV(\mathbb{R}_{<0}; \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) : \gamma \text{ increasing, } \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{\mathcal{G}}, \right. \\ \left. \|\gamma\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_{<0})} = 1, \gamma(x) \leq \frac{1}{(-x)^{1+\varepsilon}} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}_{<\bar{R}} \right\}.$

Then, there exists a minimizer $(q^*, V^*, \gamma^*) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{G}$ solving the previously stated optimal control problem.

Remark: Assumptions on γ , Uniqueness

- If we assume that γ is compactly supported, we do not require the growth condition “close to infinity.”
- We cannot expect uniqueness of minimizers.

- Choose sequence $(q_{0,k}, V_k, \gamma_k) \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(q_{0,k}, q_\eta[q_{0,k}, V_k, \gamma_k]) = \inf_{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}, V \in \mathcal{V}, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}} J(q_0, q_\eta[q_0, V, \gamma]).$$

- Along a subsequence:

- $q_{0,k} \rightharpoonup q_0^* \in \mathcal{Q}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (Banach-Alaoglu)
- $V_k \rightarrow V^* \in \mathcal{V}$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$ (Arzelà-Ascoli)
- $\gamma_k \rightarrow \gamma^* \in \mathcal{G}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (Riesz-Kolmogorov)

- Using the characteristics formula for the solution it holds along that subsequence:

$$q_\eta[q_{0,k}, V_k, \gamma_k] \rightharpoonup q_\eta[q_0^*, V^*, \gamma^*] \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

- Lower weakly semi-continuity yields

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}, V \in \mathcal{V}, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}} J(q_0, q_\eta[q_0, V, \gamma]) &\leq J(q_0^*, q_\eta[q_0^*, V^*, \gamma^*]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{l.w.s.c.}}{\leq} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(q_{0,k}, q_\eta[q_{0,k}, V_k, \gamma_k]) \\ &= \inf_{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}, V \in \mathcal{V}, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}} J(q_0, q_\eta[q_0, V, \gamma]). \end{aligned}$$

- Choose sequence $(q_{0,k}, V_k, \gamma_k) \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(q_{0,k}, q_\eta[q_{0,k}, V_k, \gamma_k]) = \inf_{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}, V \in \mathcal{V}, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}} J(q_0, q_\eta[q_0, V, \gamma]).$$

- Along a subsequence:

- $q_{0,k} \rightharpoonup q_0^* \in \mathcal{Q}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (Banach-Alaoglu)
 - $V_k \rightarrow V^* \in \mathcal{V}$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$ (Arzelà-Ascoli)
 - $\gamma_k \rightarrow \gamma^* \in \mathcal{G}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (Riesz-Kolmogorov)
- Using the characteristics formula for the solution it holds along that subsequence:

$$q_\eta[q_{0,k}, V_k, \gamma_k] \rightharpoonup q_\eta[q_0^*, V^*, \gamma^*] \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

- Lower weakly semi-continuity yields

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}, V \in \mathcal{V}, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}} J(q_0, q_\eta[q_0, V, \gamma]) &\leq J(q_0^*, q_\eta[q_0^*, V^*, \gamma^*]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{l.w.s.c.}}{\leq} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(q_{0,k}, q_\eta[q_{0,k}, V_k, \gamma_k]) \\ &= \inf_{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}, V \in \mathcal{V}, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}} J(q_0, q_\eta[q_0, V, \gamma]). \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies (q_0^*, V^*, \gamma^*) \text{ is a minimizer.}$$

Problem setup: The singular limit for optimal control problems

Consider for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$(q_{0,\eta}^{\text{opt}}, V_{\eta}^{\text{opt}}) \in \arg\min_{\substack{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \\ V \in \mathcal{V}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \int_x^\infty \exp\left(\frac{x-y}{\eta}\right) (q_{\eta}(T, y) - q_T(y)) \, dy \right)^2 \, dx$$

s.t.

$$\partial_t q_{\eta}(t, x) = -\partial_x (V(W[q_{\eta}, \gamma_{\eta}](t, x)) q_{\eta}(t, x)), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$W[q_{\eta}, \gamma_{\eta}](t, x) = \frac{1}{\eta} \int_x^\infty \exp\left(\frac{x-y}{\eta}\right) q_{\eta}(t, y) \, dy, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$q_{\eta}(0, x) = q_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The singular limit for optimal control problems (I)

Problem setup: The singular limit for optimal control problems

Consider for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$(q_{0,\eta}^{\text{opt}}, V_\eta^{\text{opt}}) \in \arg\min_{\substack{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \\ V \in \mathcal{V}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \int_x^\infty \exp\left(\frac{x-y}{\eta}\right) (q_\eta(T, y) - q_T(y)) \, dy \right)^2 \, dx$$

s.t.

$$\partial_t q_\eta(t, x) = -\partial_x (V(W[q_\eta, \gamma_\eta](t, x)) q_\eta(t, x)), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$W[q_\eta, \gamma_\eta](t, x) = \frac{1}{\eta} \int_x^\infty \exp\left(\frac{x-y}{\eta}\right) q_\eta(t, y) \, dy, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$q_\eta(0, x) = q_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Does $(q_{0,\eta}^{\text{opt}}, V_\eta^{\text{opt}}) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{V}$ converge to a solution of the corresponding **local optimal control problem** for $\eta \rightarrow 0$

$$\min_{\substack{q_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \\ V \in \mathcal{V}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (q^*(T, x) - q_T(x))^2 \, dx$$

s.t.

$$\partial_t q^*(t, x) = -\partial_x (V(q^*(t, x)) q^*(t, x)), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$q^*(0, x) = q_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}?$$

Theorem: Convergence of minimizers

For any subsequence $\eta \rightarrow 0$ with

$$q_{0,\eta}^{\text{opt}} \rightarrow q_0^{\text{opt},*} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad V_\eta^{\text{opt}} \rightarrow V^{*,\text{opt}} \text{ in } L^\infty$$

the accumulation points $(q_0^{\text{opt},*}, V_\eta^{\text{opt}}) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{V}$ are solutions to the previously stated local optimal control problem provided that we assume on $\mathcal{Q}$ in addition

$$\exists C_{\text{TV}} : |q_0|_{\text{TV}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{\text{TV}}.$$

Remark: Interpretation and generalizations

- We can approximate optimal control problems subject to local conservation laws with their nonlocal counterparts.
- This is only a first step 😊 and work in progress. . .

Open problems & next steps

- Differentiability of the control to state maps (potentially looking at the nonlocal term)
- Study of the well-posedness of the adjoint equations
- Numerical methods and convergence in the singular limit case
- Model calibration on traffic data sets (Berkeley Circles data set, etc.). Can we showcase that nonlocal traffic models fit “reality” better than the corresponding local ones?
- Optimal control when using Oleinik estimates on the nonlocal conservation law (more restrictive)
- Local vs. nonlocal optimal control of conservation laws
- Optimal control of conservation laws with nonlocal velocity

$$\partial_t q + \partial_x \left(W[V(q), \gamma](t, x) q(t, x) \right) = 0$$

- Other nonlocalities with smooth kernels on $\mathbb{R}$.
- ...

Existence & Uniqueness of nonlocal conservation laws

- P. Amorim, R.M. Colombo, A. Teixeira, *On the Numerical Integration of Scalar Nonlocal Conservation Laws*, 2015
- P. Goatin, S. Scialanga, *Well-posedness and finite volume approximations of the LWR traffic flow model with non-local velocity*, 2016
- S. Blandin, P. Goatin, *Well-posedness of a conservation law with non-local flux arising in traffic flow modeling*, 2016
- A. Keimer, L. Pflug, *Existence, uniqueness and regularity results on nonlocal balance laws*, 2017
- F. A. Chiarello, P. Goatin., *Global entropy weak solutions for general non-local traffic flow models with anisotropic kernel*, 2018
- ...

Optimal Control of nonlocal conservation laws

- J.-M. Coron, M. Kawski, Z. Wang, *Analysis of a conservation law modeling a highly re-entrant manufacturing system*, 2010
- R. M. Colombo, M. Herty, M. Mercier, *Control of the continuity equation with a non local flow*, 2010
- M. Gröschel, A. Keimer, G. Leugering, Z. Wang, *Regularity theory and adjoint-based optimality conditions for a nonlinear transport equation with nonlocal velocity*, 2014
- ...

On the singular limit problem of nonlocal conservation laws

- A. Keimer and L. Pflug, *On approximation of local conservation laws by nonlocal conservation laws*, 2019
- A. Bressan, W. Shen, *On Traffic Flow with Nonlocal Flux: A Relaxation Representation*, 2020
- M. Colombo, G. Crippa, E. Marconi, and L. V. Spinolo *Local limit of nonlocal traffic models: Convergence results and total variation blow-up*, 2021
- A. Bressan and W. Shen, *Entropy admissibility of the limit solution for a nonlocal model of traffic flow*, 2021
- G. M. Coclite, J. M. Coron, N. De Nitti, A. Keimer, and L. Pflug, *A general result on the approximation of local conservation laws by nonlocal conservation laws: The singular limit problem for exponential kernels*, 2022
- M. Colombo, G. Crippa, E. Marconi, and L. V. Spinolo, *Nonlocal Traffic Models with General Kernels: Singular Limit, Entropy Admissibility, and Convergence Rate*, 2023
- M. Colombo, G. Crippa, E. Marconi, L.V. Spinolo, *Nonlocal traffic models with general kernels: singular limit, entropy admissibility, and convergence rate*, 2023
- J. Friedrich, S. Göttlich, A. Keimer, L. Pflug, *Conservation laws with nonlocal velocity: the singular limit problem*, 2024
- G. M. Coclite, M. Colombo, G. Crippa, N. De Nitti, A. Keimer, E. Marconi, L. Pflug, L. V. Spinolo, *Oleinik-type estimates for nonlocal conservation laws and applications to the nonlocal-to-local limit*, 2024
- ...

Thank you very much!